

Straight–line string in the einbein field formalism

Yu.S.Kalashnikova*, A.V.Nefediev†

*Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, 117259, Moscow,
Russia*

Abstract

The canonical description of the straight–line string is given in the einbein field formalism. The system is quantized and Regge spectrum is reproduced. The covariant analogue of the Newton–Wigner coordinate is found, and peculiarities of the gauge fixing in τ -reparametrization group are discussed.

The idea that QCD at large distances is a string theory gives rise to a relatively simple model of hadrons, which are represented by some configuration of the string with quarks or antiquarks at the ends. In the models of such a type ordinary mesons and baryons are described by the string in its ground state, while excited string levels correspond to exotic $q\bar{q}g$, $q\bar{q}gg$... mesons or $qqqg$, $qqqgg$... baryons [1]. Physically such a picture is rather appealing, but no general solution for the problem of string with massive ends is known even at the classical level, because the radial and orbital quark motion cannot be separated from the pure string modes, that makes the problem very complicated. It is tempting, however, to use an ansatz to describe the ground state of the string, assuming the straight–line form [2] for the string part of the action:

$$S = S_{\text{quarks}} + S_{\text{string}}, \quad (1)$$

$$S_{\text{string}} = -\sigma \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} d\tau \int_0^1 d\beta \sqrt{(\dot{w}w')^2 - \dot{w}^2 w'^2}, \quad (2)$$

$$w_\mu = (1 - \beta)x_{1\mu} + \beta x_{2\mu}, \quad (3)$$

where $x_{1\mu}(\tau) = w_\mu(\tau, 0)$ and $x_{2\mu}(\tau) = w_\mu(\tau, 1)$ are the coordinates of the endpoints, and $\dot{w}_\mu = \frac{\partial w_\mu}{\partial \tau}$, $w'_\mu = \frac{\partial w_\mu}{\partial \beta}$.

*e-mail: yulia@vxitep.itep.ru

†e-mail: nefediev@vxitep.itep.ru

Ansatz (3) does not, in general, satisfy the string Euler–Lagrange equations with boundary conditions which correspond to placing quarks at the string ends, as it was shown in detail in [3]. Indeed, for the Euler–Lagrange equations to be respected, the world surface $w_\mu(\tau, \beta)$ in (2) should be the minimal one, while in accordance with the Catalan theorem [4] the ruled surface (3) is minimal only if it is either a plane or a helicoid. The latter possibility suggests that for the case of large orbital momenta and lowest radial excitations (leading trajectory), *i.e.* when the quark term in (1) can be neglected, the theory given by equations (2) and (3) is a good first approximation and deserves some attention.

In what follows we present the two-body treatment of theory (2), (3) in the framework of the einbein field formalism [5] which allows to separate the centre-of-mass motion and provides the natural environment for the identification of the physical degrees of freedom.

Einbein fields were introduced to get rid of square roots which enter the Lagrangians of relativistic systems, though at the price of introducing extra dynamical variables. For example [6], the Lagrangian of a pointlike particle,

$$L = -m\sqrt{\dot{x}^2}, \quad (4)$$

can be rewritten as

$$L = -\frac{\mu\dot{x}^2}{2} - \frac{m^2}{2\mu}, \quad (5)$$

where $\mu = \mu(\tau)$ is the einbein field, and the original form (4) is recovered if the solution of the Euler–Lagrange equation for the einbein field μ is substituted into Lagrangian (5). Form (5) is quadratic in velocity, that provides an opportunity to express it explicitly in terms of canonical momentum $p_\mu = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}_\mu}$, and it is very helpful in the Hamiltonian formulation of the theory.

The extension of the method to the straight–line string is to introduce a continuous set of einbein fields $\nu(\beta)$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, rewriting the Lagrangian from (2) as

$$L = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 d\beta \nu(\beta) \left(\dot{w}^2 - \frac{(\dot{w}x)^2}{x^2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 d\beta \frac{\sigma^2 x^2}{\nu(\beta)}, \quad (6)$$

$$x_\mu = x_{1\mu} - x_{2\mu}.$$

The Euler–Lagrange equation for the field $\nu(\beta)$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \frac{\delta L}{\delta \dot{\nu}(\beta)} - \frac{\delta L}{\delta \nu(\beta)} = 0, \quad (7)$$

reduces to the extremum condition

$$\frac{\delta L}{\delta \nu(\beta)} = 0 \quad (8)$$

and has the solution

$$\nu_{\text{extr}} = -\frac{\sigma x}{\sqrt{\frac{(\dot{w}x)^2}{x^2} - \dot{w}^2}}, \quad (9)$$

which returns us back to action (2).

To separate the centre-of-mass motion we introduce a new set of variables instead of $x_{1\mu}$ and $x_{2\mu}$:

$$\begin{aligned} x_\mu &= x_{1\mu} - x_{2\mu}, & X_\mu &= \zeta x_{1\mu} + (1 - \zeta)x_{2\mu} \\ \zeta &= \frac{\int_0^1 d\beta \nu \beta}{\int_0^1 d\beta \nu}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

In terms of these new variables Lagrangian (6) takes the form

$$L = -\frac{1}{2}M \left(\dot{X}^2 - \frac{(x\dot{X})^2}{x^2} \right) - \frac{1}{2}m \left(\dot{x}^2 - \frac{(x\dot{x})^2}{x^2} \right) + \frac{1}{2}kx^2 \quad (11)$$

with the notations

$$M = \int_0^1 d\beta \nu, \quad m = \int_0^1 d\beta \nu (\beta - \zeta)^2, \quad k = \int_0^1 \frac{\sigma^2}{\nu}. \quad (12)$$

The canonical momenta are

$$\begin{aligned} P_\mu &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{X}_\mu} = -M \left(\dot{X}_\mu - \frac{x_\mu (x\dot{X})}{x^2} \right), \\ p_\mu &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}_\mu} = -m \left(\dot{x}_\mu - \frac{x_\mu (x\dot{x})}{x^2} \right), \\ \kappa(\beta) &= \frac{\delta L}{\delta \dot{\nu}(\beta)} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

and the Hamiltonian $H_0 = (P\dot{X}) + (p\dot{x}) - L$ takes the form

$$H_0 = -\frac{P^2}{2M} - \frac{p^2}{2m} - \frac{kx^2}{2}. \quad (14)$$

At first glance the centre-of-mass motion is already separated out in Hamiltonian (14), but it is not the case: first, the coefficients in (14) depend on the einbein field via relations (12), and, second, primary constraints are present in the theory as it is easily seen from expressions (13) for the canonical momenta:

$$\varphi_1 = (Px), \quad \varphi_2 = (px), \quad \varphi_3(\beta) = \kappa(\beta). \quad (15)$$

So we deal with a constrained theory and should act along the lines of the general Dirac's procedure [7]. First we are to define the full Hamiltonian

$$H = H_0 + \Lambda \varphi_1 + \lambda \varphi_2 + \int_0^1 d\beta e(\beta) \varphi_3(\beta), \quad (16)$$

where constraints (15) are added with Lagrange multipliers Λ , λ and $e(\beta)$. As we treat the einbeins as dynamical variables the Poisson bracket is given by the expression

$$\begin{aligned} \{AB\} = & \frac{\partial A}{\partial P_\mu} \frac{\partial B}{\partial X_\mu} - \frac{\partial A}{\partial X_\mu} \frac{\partial B}{\partial P_\mu} + \frac{\partial A}{\partial p_\mu} \frac{\partial B}{\partial x_\mu} - \frac{\partial A}{\partial x_\mu} \frac{\partial B}{\partial p_\mu} + \\ & + \int_0^1 d\beta \left(\frac{\delta A}{\delta \kappa(\beta)} \frac{\delta B}{\delta \nu(\beta)} - \frac{\delta A}{\delta \nu(\beta)} \frac{\delta B}{\delta \kappa(\beta)} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Primary constraints (15) give rise to the secondary constraints

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_4 = \{\varphi_1 H\} &= \frac{(pP)}{m} - \lambda(Px), \\ \varphi_5 = \{\varphi_2 H\} &= \frac{p^2}{m} - kx^2, \\ \varphi_6 = \{\varphi_3(\beta) H\} &= \frac{P^2}{2M^2} + \frac{p^2}{2m^2}(\beta - \zeta)^2 + \frac{\sigma^2 x^2}{2\nu^2(\beta)}, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

and no further constraints appear, because equations $\{\varphi_a H\} = 0$, $a = 4, 5, 6$, define the Lagrange multipliers. At the constraints surface these equations are ¹

$$\begin{aligned} \{\varphi_4 H\} &\approx \Lambda \frac{P^2}{m} = 0 \\ \{\varphi_5 H\} &\approx 2\lambda \frac{p^2}{m} + 2\lambda kx^2 + \int_0^1 d\beta e(\beta) \left(\frac{p^2}{m^2}(\beta - \zeta)^2 - \frac{\sigma^2 x^2}{\nu^2(\beta)} \right) = 0 \\ \{\varphi_6 H\} &\approx \lambda \frac{p^2}{m^2}(\beta - \zeta) - \lambda \frac{\sigma^2 x^2}{\nu^2(\beta)} + e(\beta) \frac{\sigma^2 x^2}{\nu^3(\beta)} + \\ &+ \int_0^1 d\beta' e(\beta') \left(\frac{P^2}{M^3} + \frac{p^2}{m^3}(\beta - \zeta)^2(\beta' - \zeta)^2 \right) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Solution of equations (19) is

$$\Lambda = 0, \quad \lambda = 0, \quad e(\beta) = e_0 \nu(\beta), \quad (20)$$

where e_0 is an arbitrary coefficient. It is not surprising that the Lagrange multipliers are defined only up to an arbitrary constant; initial action (2), (3) is invariant under τ -reparametrization transformations (and introducing the eibein does not spoil this invariance), so we deal with a gauge theory. From general considerations [7] it means that

¹As usually sign $\approx$ denotes the so called “weak” equality, *i.e.* equality which holds when all constraints are set equal to zero.

there exist two linear combinations, one of primary constraints (15) and another of secondary ones (18), which form a conjugated pair of the first class constraints. This pair is easily identified to be

$$\Phi_1 = \int_0^1 d\beta \nu(\beta) \varphi_3(\beta), \quad \Phi_2 = \int_0^1 d\beta \nu(\beta) \varphi_6(\beta) \approx -H_0. \quad (21)$$

Explicit calculations with bracket (17) demonstrate that indeed $\{\Phi_{1,2}, \varphi_a\} \approx 0$, $a = 1, \dots, 6$.

Due to presence of the first class constraints (21) the constraints matrix $C_{ab} = \{\varphi_a, \varphi_b\}$ is degenerate ($\det C = 0$), and this pair should be eliminated in calculation of Dirac brackets. Technically it is convenient to define the preliminary brackets first,

$$\{AB\}' = \{AB\} - \sum_{i,j} \{A\varphi_i\} C_{ij}^{-1} \{\varphi_j B\}, \quad (22)$$

where $i, j = 1, 2, 4, 5$ only. In what follows the physical variables are constructed in terms of P_μ , X_μ , p_μ and x_μ , which have the following preliminary brackets:

$$\begin{aligned} \{P_\mu X_\nu\}' &= g_{\mu\nu}, \quad \{P_\mu P_\nu\}' = \{P_\mu p_\nu\}' = \{P_\mu x_\nu\}' = 0 \\ \{X_\mu X_\nu\}' &= \frac{S_{\mu\nu}}{P^2} \\ \{X_\mu x_\nu\}' &= \frac{x_\mu P_\nu}{P^2} \\ \{X_\mu p_\nu\}' &= \frac{p_\mu P_\nu}{P^2} \\ \{p_\mu x_\nu\}' &= g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{P_\mu P_\nu}{P^2} - \frac{2}{m\bar{c}} P_\mu p_\nu - \frac{2k}{c} x_\mu x_\nu \\ \{p_\mu p_\nu\}' &= \frac{2k}{c} S_{\mu\nu} \\ \{x_\mu x_\nu\}' &= \frac{2}{m\bar{c}} S_{\mu\nu} \\ S_{\mu\nu} &= x_\mu p_\nu - x_\nu p_\mu, \quad c = 2kx^2 + \frac{2p^2}{m}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

The would-be physical variables are defined by means of the tetrad formalism [8]. The tetrad of vectors is given by

$$e_{0\mu} = \frac{P_\mu}{\sqrt{P^2}}, \quad e_{i\mu} e_{j\mu} = -\delta_{ij}, \quad e_{0\mu} e_{j\mu} = 0, \quad (24)$$

where indices 0 and $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ are the tetrad ones, and the corresponding Christoffel symbols are

$$\Gamma_{ij\alpha} = e_{i\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial P_\alpha} e_{j\mu}, \quad \Gamma_{0j\alpha} = e_{0\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial P_\alpha} e_{j\mu}. \quad (25)$$

It can be shown after some tedious algebra (see [8, 5] for the details) that P_μ together with the variables

$$\begin{aligned} Q_\mu &= X_\mu + \frac{1}{2} S_{ij} \Gamma_{ij\mu} \\ n_i &= -e_{i\mu} \frac{x_\mu}{\sqrt{-x^2}} \\ S_{ij} &= e_{i\alpha} e_{j\beta} S_{\alpha\beta} \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

commute in a familiar way,

$$\begin{aligned} \{P_\mu Q_\nu\}' &= g_{\mu\nu}, \quad \{P_\mu P_\nu\}' = \{Q_\mu Q_\nu\}' = 0, \\ \{n_i n_j\}' &= 0, \quad \{S_{ik} S_{ab}\}' = -\delta_{ka} S_{ib} - \delta_{kb} S_{ai} - \delta_{ia} S_{bk} - \delta_{ib} S_{ka}, \\ \{S_{ik} n_j\}' &= n_i \delta_{kj} - n_k \delta_{ij}, \\ \{P_\mu n_i\}' &= \{P_\mu S_{ij}\}' = \{Q_\mu n_i\}' = \{Q_\mu S_{ij}\}' = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

so that variables (26) are the physical ones of the spherical top [9]. Namely, Q_μ is the four-dimensional analogue of the Newton–Wigner variable [10], whereas n_i and S_{jk} describe the internal angular motion.

Now we take remaining constraints $\varphi_3(\beta)$ and $\varphi_6(\beta)$ into account and define the final Dirac brackets as

$$\{AB\}^* = \{AB\}' - \sum_{m,n=3,6} \int_0^1 d\beta_1 \int_0^1 d\beta_2 \{A\varphi_n(\beta_1)\}' \tilde{C}_{nm}^{-1}(\beta_1, \beta_2) \{\varphi_m(\beta_2)B\}', \quad (28)$$

where

$$\tilde{C}_{mn}(\beta_1, \beta_2) = C_{mn} - \sum_{i,j=1,2,4,5} \{\varphi_n(\beta_1)\varphi_i\}' C_{ij}^{-1} \{\varphi_j\varphi_m(\beta_2)\}'.$$

Matrix $\tilde{C}_{mn}(\beta_1, \beta_2)$ is degenerate because of the presence of the first class constraints (21), so the integrals in (28) are to be understood symbolically: for example, one can discretize the continuous sets φ_3 and φ_6 , replacing the integration over β_1 and β_2 by the finite summation, and exclude one pair $\varphi_3(\beta_0)$ and $\varphi_6(\beta_0)$ with an arbitrary β_0 . We don't need to put this procedure onto more rigorous grounds, because the final brackets for the physical variables coincide with the preliminary ones. Indeed with the help of brackets (17) one finds that

$$\tilde{C}_{33}(\beta_1, \beta_2) = 0, \quad \tilde{C}_{66}(\beta_1, \beta_2) = 0 \quad (29)$$

and only terms containing $\tilde{C}_{36}^{-1}$ and $\tilde{C}_{63}^{-1}$ contribute to brackets (28). This means that for the preliminary brackets not to be distorted it is enough to show that $\{A\varphi_3\}'$ is zero for all A belonging to the set of physical variables. As soon as $\{A\varphi_3\} = 0$ for any physical variable A , then

$$\{A\varphi_3\}' = -\{A\varphi_2\}C_{25}^{-1}\{\varphi_5\varphi_3\}, \quad (30)$$

whereas $\{A\varphi_2\} = 0$ for all variables from set (26). So finally one has $\{AB\}^* = \{AB\}'$ for all physical variables (26).

Now, when the final Dirac brackets for the physical variables are established, the redundant variables can be expressed in terms of physical ones by means of constraint surface equations $\varphi_a = 0$ with the result

$$\nu(\beta) = \frac{N}{\sqrt{1 - (2\beta - 1)^2}}, \quad m = \frac{\pi N}{16}, \quad M = \frac{\pi N}{2}, \quad k = \frac{\pi\sigma^2}{4N}, \quad (31)$$

$$x^2 = -\frac{8L}{\pi\sigma}, \quad p^2 = -\frac{\pi\sigma L}{8},$$

where N is arbitrary, and $L^2 = \frac{1}{2}S_{ik}S_{ik}$. The presence of an arbitrary constant in (31) is the consequence of the first class constraints (21). Physically significant is the trajectory constraint

$$H_0 = -\frac{1}{2M}(P^2 - 2\pi\sigma L) \approx 0. \quad (32)$$

To quantize the theory we are to find an operator realization of algebra (27). For the centre-of-mass motion it is achieved with $\hat{P}_\mu = -i\frac{\partial}{\partial Q_\mu}$ in the coordinate representation, and the internal motion is described in terms of the angular momentum operator $\hat{L}_n = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{nik}\hat{S}_{ik}$ acting at the components of the unit vector n_k in the tetrad 3-space.

Trajectory constraint (32) as the first class one leads to the equation for the wave function,

$$\left(\hat{P}^2 - 2\pi\sigma\sqrt{\hat{L}^2}\right)\Psi = 0, \quad (33)$$

with the spectrum

$$P^2 = 2\pi\sigma\sqrt{L(L+1)}. \quad (34)$$

Alternatively, a gauge in the τ -reparametrization group can be fixed, *e.g.* by setting

$$Q_0 = \tau \quad (35)$$

(laboratory gauge). As the centre-of-mass is properly separated out, the quantization leads to the Schroedinger-type equation

$$\sqrt{\vec{P}^2 + 2\pi\sigma\sqrt{L(L+1)}}\psi = E\psi \quad (36)$$

with no ordering ambiguities. Other ways of gauge fixing (proper-time gauge, light-cone gauge) can be used as well.

Nevertheless, it is not straightforward to fix the gauge at the level of the Lagrangian. The standard method of quantization on some hypersurface does not work. Indeed, there is only one gauge group, and the gauge should be fixed by imposing only one extra constraint, like (35). As there are two single-particle coordinates $x_{1\mu}(\tau)$ and $x_{2\mu}(\tau)$ at our disposal, two conditions are usually imposed in such type of problems [2]. For example, a popular choice is

$$x_{10}(\tau) = x_{20}(\tau) = \tau. \quad (37)$$

These two conditions are more than one gauge fixing constraint, and the resulting theory differs from the original one. In simple case (2), (3) conditions (37) satisfy the classical equations of motion of the original theory, but with (37) the motion is restricted to the rotations in the plane orthogonal to the three-dimensional vector $\vec{P}$, and the quantization leads to the wrong Regge trajectory

$$P^2 = 2\pi\sigma L \quad (38)$$

instead of (34). Moreover, it is not clear *a priori* whether conditions of type (37) do not violate the equations of motion of the original theory in the case of straight-line string with massive ends (1). To the contrary, the suggested formalism allows not only to establish unambiguously the Newton–Wigner variable Q_μ and the corresponding internal variables, but also to fix the τ -reparametrization gauge in physically transparent and convenient way.

This work is supported by grants 96-02-19184a and 97-02-16404 of Russian Fundamental Research Foundation and by INTAS 94-2851 and 93-0079ext.

References

- [1] N.Isgur and J.Paton, Phys.Rev. **D31** (1985) 2910
- [2] C.Olson, M.G.Olsson and D.LaCourse, Phys.Rev. **D49** (1994) 4675
A.Yu.Dubin, A.B.Kaidalov, Yu.A.Simonov, Phys.Lett. **B323** (1994), 41
N.Brambilla, P.Consoli and G.M.Prosperi, Phys.Rev. **D50** (1994) 5878
- [3] V.V.Nesterenko, Z.Phys. **C47** (1990) 111
- [4] E.Catalan, J.Ec.Polytechn. **17** (1843) 29
- [5] Yu.S.Kalashnikova, A.V.Nefediev, ITEP-45-96, hep-ph/9611361, Journ.Atom.Nucl., in press
- [6] L.Brink, P.Di Vecchia, P.Howe, Nucl.Phys. **B118** (1977) 76

- [7] P.A.M.Dirac, "Lectures on Quantum Mechanics", Belter Graduate School of Science, Yeshiva University, New York (1964)
- [8] G.P.Pron'ko, A.V.Razumov, Theor.Math.Phys. **56** (1983) 192 (in Russian)
- [9] A.J.Hanson and T.Regge, Ann.Phys. **87** (1974) 498
- [10] M.H.L.Pryce, Proc.Roy.Soc. London, Ser. **A150** (1935) 166